

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,442	11/20/2003	Thomas E. Creamer	BOC9-2003-0055 (426)	9830
49967 7590 03/17/2009 Novak Druce + Quigg LLP CityPlace Tower, 525 Okeechobee Blvd.			EXAMINER	
			WALSH, JOHN B	
Fifteenth-Floor WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2451	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/718.442 CREAMER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit John B. Walsh 2451 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE of 12/31/08. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-6 and 26-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-6 and 26-41 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/718,442 Page 2

Art Unit: 2451

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the
claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the
following is required: means for registering, means for conveying, means for installing, means
for receiving, means for executing, means for conveying, means for initiating, means for
accessing, means for conveying information from the Web service and means for transporting
service data.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 2 35 LLS C 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 26-31, 37-39 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 38 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. While the claims recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to particular machine, or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. See page 10 of In Re Bilski 88 USPQ2d 1385. The instant claims are neither positively tied to a particular machine that accomplishes the claimed method steps nor transform underlying subject matter, and therefore do not qualify as a statutory process. The limitation of

a "server" does not automatically and inherently limited to hardware and can cover software.

The applicants' specification at paragraph 0038 recites the invention can be realized in software alone.

Claim 26 is drawn to a system. The use of the term "system" does not inherently mean that the claim is directed to a machine. Only if at least one the claimed elements of the system is a physical part of a device can the system as claimed constitute part of a device or a combination of devices to be a machine within the meaning of 101. Furthermore, the specification at paragraph 0038 recites the invention can be realized in software alone.

Claim 37 is drawn to a system comprising "means for". The use of the term "system" does not inherently mean that the claim is directed to a machine. Only if at least one the claimed elements of the system is a physical part of a device can the system as claimed constitute part of a device or a combination of devices to be a machine within the meaning of 101. Even though the applicant has recited the claim terms "means for", the corresponding structure has not been identified. Furthermore such "structure" is not automatically and inherently limited to hardwareinclusive embodiments since the disclosed "means" can cover an embodiment of software alone. The specification at paragraph 0038 recites the invention can be realized in software alone.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Application/Control Number: 10/718,442

Art Unit: 2451

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

 Claims 26-28 and 31 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication 20040078424 to Yairi et al.

As concerns claim 26, a service registry (0024) for registering a web service; a service environment (0025; fig. 1) within which the web service is installed; an application server (0023; 111) configured to distribute said web service to a service user upon receiving a request from the service user, where the user has selected the web service from the service registry, wherein said application server is disposed within a different network space than said service environment (0024; fig. 1; 111 is in a "different" space than 101, 119, 121, 123 or 125); a gateway (0024; 101) between the application server and the service environment for accessing the requested web service installed within the service environment and for conveying information from the web service to the application server, wherein the gateway further comprises a function specific component (0024; 103,105) configured for the web service.

As concerns claim 27, WSDL (0028).

As concerns claim 28, said application server comprises an application engine configured to execute modular server side applications (IM applications).

As concerns claim 31, said gateway comprises a service component configured for a plurality of web services (abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/718,442

Art Unit: 2451

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claim 30 as best understood is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication 20040078424 to Yairi et al. as applied above in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication 2005/0044197 to Lai.

Yairi et al. '424 do not explicitly disclose CORBA.

Lai '197 teach CORBA (fig. 64).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the system of Yairi et al. '424 with CORBA, as taught by Lai '197, in order to provide communication between the gateway and server that is OS and language independence. Such a modification is a combination of known elements yielding predictable results.

Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent
Application Publication 20040078424 to Yairi et al. as applied above in view of U.S. Patent
Application Publication 2004/0179668 to Gilbert et al.

Yairi et al. '424 do not explicitly disclose a parlay gateway.

Gilbert et al. '668 teach a parlay gateway (0011).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the system of Yairi et al. '424 with a parlay gateway, as taught by Gilbert et al. '668, in order to provide an intelligent gateway supporting telephony functions. Such a modification is a combination of known elements yielding predictable results.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Walsh whose telephone number is 571-272-7063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on 571-272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John B. Walsh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2451